

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE COMMUNITY SAFETY PARTNERSHIP HELD ON WEDNESDAY, 27TH SEPTEMBER, 2017, 14:00.

PRESENT: Helen Millichap (Co-Chair), Cllr Ayisi (Co-Chair), Astrid Kjellberg-Obst, Tracie Evans, Eubert Malcolm, Stephen McDonnell, Cllr Martin Newton, Geoffrey Ocen, Cllr Weston & Andrew Bright.

IN ATTENDANCE: Sandeep Broca, Jennifer Sergeant, Otis Williams, Christina Andrew, Ian Kershaw, Fiona O'Dwyer.

1. FILMING AT MEETINGS

The Chair referred Members present to agenda Item 1 as shown on the agenda in respect of filming at this meeting, and Members noted the information contained therein.

2. APOLOGIES

Apologies for absence were received from the following:

- Joanne McCartney, MPA, London Assembly
- Gill Gibson, AD for Early Help and Prevention
- Helen Twigg, Victim Support
- Margaret Dennison, Director of Children's Services
- Simon Stone, Service Manager for Youth Justice.

3. URGENT BUSINESS

None.

4. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

There were no declarations of interest.

5. MINUTES

RESOLVED

That the minutes of the meeting on 18th January 2017 be approved as a correct record of the meeting.

6. COMMUNITY SAFETY PARTNERSHIP TERMS OF REFERENCE

RESOLVED

That the Partnership noted the terms of reference and agreed the membership list for the 2017/18 municipal year, as set out on page 7 of the agenda pack.

7. POLICE ESTATE STRATEGY CONSULTATION

The partnership received a presentation setting out the MOPAC Public Access and Engagement Strategy for Haringey from Helen Millichap, Borough Commander and Co-Chair.

Following discussion of the presentation, the following points were noted:

- a. The consultation set out a set of proposals to consolidate the MOPAC estate across the 32 London boroughs with the aim of making efficiency savings and releasing resources tied up in under-utilised buildings. As part of this process, MOPAC were also attempting to standardise the service offer across London and to ensure that the buildings were fit for purpose.
- b. The partnership were encouraged to contribute to the online consultation process on an individual basis.
- c. In response to a question around staffing levels for the non-emergency contact number, the Borough Commander acknowledged that to some extent any attempt at implementing a channel shift was dependent upon improving performance of the non-emergency contact number. The partnership was advised that there was a significant vacancy factor at present but that a staffing plan was in place, along with a programme of training to tackle the under-resourcing. It was anticipated that the development of an online reporting interface would help with the reporting of non-emergency incidents and that overall, it was anticipated that these measures would facilitate more police officers being out on the streets.
- d. Clarification was sought around whether these proposals would lead to no reduction in police numbers, in response the Borough Commander advised that the consultation was not about police numbers but acknowledged that these proposals could have an effect on frontline policing numbers. However, the impact was not known yet, particularly as there was also a wider reconfiguration of policing going on in the background. The Borough Commander set out that there was a drop in police numbers and that one of the responses to this was a move to joint clusters; for example, Islington and Camden had a shared model with a shared Borough Commander and joint Community Safety Partnership.
- e. In response to a request for the partnership to receive a presentation on the wider reconfiguration of policing and changes to police numbers, the Borough Commander advised that the programme was still being developed but that a presentation would come to the CSP in due course. The Borough Commander reiterated that there was a firm commitment to maintaining two dedicated ward offices per ward in Haringey.
- f. In response to a query around the potential for developing public sector hubs in response to similar estate consolidation potential across a number of public sector organisations, the Borough Commander responded that she would welcome further discussion on developing this.
- g. In response to a request for clarification, the Borough Commander advised that the proposal outlined in the consultation was that a 24/7 base would be maintained in Tottenham as the main police hub in Haringey, however the

- current building was not suitable and so the preferred option would be to sell off Tottenham police station and commission a new building.
- h. In response to a query around smart working and ensuring that there were suitable locations for police to meet and interact in the day-to-day course of their work, the Co-Chair acknowledged the importance of a space to meet and share intelligence. The Committee were advised that it was anticipated that the DWO hubs would facilitate this, as well as the Quicksilver patrol base which acted as a key hub for 999 respondents. The partnership suggested that future messages included reference to quicksilver and other access points.
 - i. In response to the development of a portal as part of the Council's digital offer with voluntary sector partners, the Borough Commander acknowledged that there was scope to explore widening the offer to include the police and LFB.
 - j. The Cabinet Member for Children and Families cautioned that it was important that a range of platforms were available from which to respond to the consultation and cautioned that the age range of the respondents to the online survey's should be taken into account.
 - k. In response to concerns that police stations were visible points of access, the Borough Commander acknowledged the need for a robust communications strategy and the need to ensure that this was done in a way that included community languages and variety of outputs.
 - l. The partnership queried how the resources released from the sale of buildings would be allocated and whether they would be ring fenced. In response, the Borough Commander advised that she was not certain but assumed that the savings realised from these proposals would go back into a central pot of funding which would then perhaps be redistributed according to how many DWO offices were required, rather than based on the level of savings achieved by each borough.
 - m. In response to a request for clarification on the interim plan for the west of the borough, the Borough Commander advised that the DWO hubs would have to be in place first before any closure of the Hornsey site.
 - n. The Borough Commander acknowledged that discussions would take place with the LFB around shared hubs.

8. COMMUNITY REHABILITATION COMPANY UPDATE

This item was deferred until the next meeting. **(Action: Clerk).**

9. BOROUGH PLAN UPDATE

Otis Williams, Principal Policy Officer gave a verbal update to the partnership on the development of the new Borough Plan. The partnership was advised that the current corporate plan was due to expire in 2018 and that it was felt that a broader plan for the borough was needed to replace it. A borough wide plan reflected the need to develop a coordinated business plan for the Council and its partners, particularly in light of ongoing resource pressures across the public sector.

Initial work on the Borough Plan had consolidated existing priorities into 3 emerging themes; people, place and growth. The next steps included the establishment of task and finish groups involving the Council and partners; with the aim of fleshing out the

vision, objectives and high level outcomes of each theme. Overall, it was envisaged that the Borough Plan would be implemented by summer 2018.

10. COMMUNITY SAFETY STRATEGY/KNIFE CRIME ACTION PLAN/MOPAC CO-COMMISSIONING UPDATE

Ian Kershaw, Commissioning and Client Manager introduced the report on the Community Safety Strategy, knife crime and MOPAC co-commissioning to the partnership as set out (pages 15-19 of the agenda pack). In response to the discussion of the report the following points were noted:

- a. Assurances were sought that the extensive work done by the partnership around violence, exploitation and vulnerability would be reflected in the Borough Plan. In response, officers reassured the partnership that all of the existing work done with partners on this subject would feed into the Borough Plan process. The partnership were advised that there would be significant continuity from one Community Safety Strategy to the next, including the work around violence, exploitation and vulnerability. It was suggested that a key forum for engagement with partners was through the task and finish groups and that the development of robust action plans, sitting underneath the Borough Plan, would ensure that key work streams were captured. Officers acknowledged that a key task going forwards was how best to communicate those messages.
- b. The partnership was advised that the Community Safety Strategy and Borough Plan would be launched around the same time.
- c. The partnership noted concerns from members of the community around knife crime and the availability of knives. In response, the Borough Commander highlighted the fact that behaviour change, education and learning were a key facet of the knife crime strategy. Officers also commented that the Council regularly undertook test purchases on age restricted knives and would welcome any intelligence on vendors who were not acting in accordance with the law.
- d. The Borough Commander advised that the Safer Neighbourhood Board (SNB) had a small pot of money to put on a parental engagement event around knife crime.
- e. The Commissioning and Client manager advised that he would bring back the knife crime action plan to the December meeting of the CSP. **(Action: Ian Kershaw/Clerk).**
- f. The Co-Chair suggested that that the Commissioning and Client Manager link in with the Bridge Renewal Trust and the SNB around the knife crime action plan. **(Action: Ian Kershaw).**
- g. The partnership sought assurances around early intervention models and also sought to highlight that an approach around knife crime should not be overly focused on young people. In response, officers acknowledged that it was a complex issue and that a one-size-fits-all approach was unhelpful. The Partnership were advised that a co-commissioning model would be used for the knife crime action plan and that partners, stakeholders and the wider community would all be involved in its development.
- h. The Cabinet Member for Children and Families suggested that schools should be a key agency in terms of coordinating responses on knife crime and also

suggested that school reps should be invited to attend future Community Safety Partnership meetings.

- i. The partnership raised concerns around a rise in acid attacks across London and questioned why the purchase of acid and the constituent chemicals were not subject to stringent restrictions. The partnership agreed to have a discussion around noxious substances, acid and other incapacitant attacks at a future meeting. **(Action: Helen Millichap/Clerk).**

RESOLVED

- I. That the Partnership agreed to extend the current Community Strategy until 2018 and to align the emerging strategy with the new Borough Plan;
- II. That Board Members agreed the approach to a knife crime action plan for the borough;
- III. That the partnership noted the progress of bids to MOPAC's co-commissioning fund.

11. JOINT COMMUNITY SAFETY PARTNERSHIP/HEALTH WELLBEING BOARD UPDATE

The Head of Community Safety & Enforcement gave the partnership a verbal update on the Joint Community Safety Partnership and Health & Wellbeing Board.

RESOLVED

The partnership noted the minutes of the meeting of the Joint Health & Wellbeing Board held on Monday 12th June 2017.

12. NEW ITEMS OF URGENT BUSINESS

N/A

13. ANY OTHER BUSINESS

None.

14. DATES OF FUTURE MEETINGS

Noted that the next meeting of the CSP was scheduled for 6th December.

CHAIR:

Signed by Chair

Date